

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,269	SLEIMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1791	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Melvin Curtis Mayes.

(3) _____.

(2) Chad Kleinheksel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,5,11 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Ijiri et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed Ijiri with respect to circular ridges suggested by recesses in the form of "dots." Agreed to cancel Claims 5 and 17 and amend Claims 1 and 11 to read "nor" instead of "or" so as to be inclusive of both negative limitations referred to by "none of said projections" in order to place the case in condition for allowance..